Jaburg & Wilk, P.C. 1 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, AZ 85004 (602) 248-1000 3 Maria Crimi Speth (#012574) 4 mcs@jaburgwilk.com Attorney for Plaintiff Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP 2049 Century Park East Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 312-2000 Facsimile: (310) 312-3100 9 Elanor M. Lackman Motion for Pro Hac Vice admission 10 to be filed 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 14 Bridgestone Multimedia Group, LLC, Case No. BURG 15 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT** LAW 16 v. **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 17 Joshua Carroll, 18 Defendant. 19 20 21 Plaintiff Bridgestone Multimedia Group, LLC ("BMG"), by and through its 22 undersigned attorneys, complains and alleges against Defendant Joshua Carroll 23 ("Defendant") as follows: 24 NATURE OF THE ACTION 25 1. This is a civil action for damages and other appropriate relief arising out of 26 Defendant's breach of its agreement with BMG to indemnify it for damages and costs 27 arising from a claim that Defendant's film violated a third party's intellectual property 28 rights.

24017-24017-00001\MCS\LAH\6082895v1

- 2. In particular, Defendant is a filmmaker and the licensor to BMG of certain motion pictures that Defendant represented and warranted would not violate or infringe any right of any third party—neither in distribution of the motion pictures nor the marketing or other exploitation thereof. To back up that representation and warranty, Defendant agreed to indemnify and hold harmless BMG—and its sub-distributors, exhibitors, and licensees—from any and all claims, demands, damages, liabilities, costs, and expenses related to a claimed breach of Defendant's representations and warranties.
- 3. Despite these clear terms, Defendant has refused to pay and has otherwise refused to honor its contractual obligations. Accordingly, Defendant has no other alternative but to seek relief from this Court to be made whole.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over the claim pursuant to 20 because the controversy exists between citizens of different states, and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because he entered into agreements with a company based in the State of Arizona and under such agreements expressly submitted to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts located in Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 6. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district and/or because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff Bridgestone Multimedia Group, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business located at P.O. Box 9216, Chandler Heights, Arizona. BMG is a trusted distribution partner offering family-friendly, faith-based entertainment worldwide.
- 8. Defendant Joshua Carroll is an individual residing at 316 Kristina Lynn Place, Englewood, Ohio.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9. Defendant is an independent director and filmmaker.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. On May 10, 2018, July 26, 2018, April 12, 2019, July 20, 2021, and April 29, 2022, BMG and Defendant entered into License and Distribution Agreements (the "Agreements") pertaining to five of Defendant's motion pictures, all of which use LEGO®-branded products as characters that are modified to appear to be figures from the Bible (the "Films").
- The Agreements contain standard terms and conditions that are consistent 11. across each of the agreements for the Films.
- 12. As a major distributor, BMG—like other motion picture distributors places the risk of content claims on the licensor. Accordingly, Section 9 of the Agreements provide that Defendant represents and warrants, inter alia, that Defendant has the legal right to grant the rights in and to the Films to BMG, and that neither the Films, nor the materials pertaining thereto, nor their contents violate or infringe any right of any third party. Section 21 of the Agreements require Defendant to indemnify and hold harmless BMG, its sub-distributors, exhibitors, and licensees, its officers, directors, shareholders, agents, contractors, employees, successors, and assigns, of and from any and all claims, demands, damages, liabilities, costs, and expenses (including reasonable attorneys' fees) related to a breach or claimed breach of the Agreement.
- 13. On October 4, 2023, BMG received a demand letter from Lego Group. Such letter demanded that BMG cease and desist from all sales, distribution, and advertising of the Films in light of their use of LEGO® products, and to provide an accounting of sales and profits from the Films.
- 14. On October 7, 2023, BMG notified Defendant of the claim and requested indemnification pursuant to the Agreements. Defendant responded soon thereafter and stated that he wanted the relationship to terminate as a result. However, during communications that ensued in the following weeks, Defendant stated that he would not

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

pay or otherwise indemnify for the early termination under the defined term of the Agreements.

- 15. On December 11, 2023, after Defendant told BMG to "take down" the Films and related content from all platforms, BMG suspended the Films and otherwise complied with the demands from Lego Group, and BMG notified Lego Group of the same.
- 16. The out-of-pocket cost that BMG incurred as a result of compliance with the demands exceeded \$75,000. The costs included those involved in destroying DVD inventory, removing the Films from distribution, including buyout costs for remaining fixed fee license agreements.
- Further efforts to persuade Defendant to comply with the Agreements, 17. including the offering of a payment plan over many years, have been unsuccessful. Accordingly, BMG seeks an order that Defendant is obligated to comply, and to indemnify and hold harmless in full.

COUNT I

Breach of Contract

- 18. BMG realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in this Complaint with the same force and effect as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 19. BMG and Defendant are parties to the Agreements described above, including the representations and warranties regarding intellectual property rights, and the full indemnification for any claimed breach of such representations and warranties.
- 20. BMG received a claim from an intellectual property owner that Defendant had violated such owner's intellectual property rights.
- 21. Defendant refused to challenge the claim or otherwise cover BMG's injuries resulting from the claim. While BMG was under no obligation to challenge the claim, the cost of doing so would have exceeded the cost of compliance.

	J
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
2	
	2
2	3
2	1
2	¬ 5
2	6
2	7
2	/ Q
2	0

2

2	22.	Nonetheless, BMG incurred significant cost as a result of having to suspend
the Film	ns and	otherwise comply with the demands. However, Defendant has not made
BMG w	hole a	as required.

23. Therefore, Defendant is in breach of the Agreements in an amount exceeding \$75,000 – a number that is continuing to grow as a result of Defendant's refusal to honor his contractual obligations.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

BMG requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable of right pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, BMG respectfully demands:

- A. That the Court find that Defendant breached the parties' Agreements;
- B. That the Court issue an order requiring Defendant to pay to BMG all such actual damages and costs relating to the claimed breach;
- C. That the Court award prejudgment interest on all damages awarded by this Court;
- D. That the Court award all of BMG's attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Section 16 of the Agreements and pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-341.01; and
- E. That the Court award such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 20th day of June, 2024.

JABURG & WILK, P.C.

/s/ Maria Crimi Speth Maria Crimi Speth 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

MITCHELL SILBERBERG KNUPP LLP 2049 Century Park East Los Angeles, California 90067 Elanor M. Lackman Motion for Pro Hac Vice admission to be filed Attorneys for Plaintiff JABURG WILK LAW FIRM